# inorganic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# An open-framework borophosphate, LiCu<sub>2</sub>BP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>

#### Juan Zheng and Aiyun Zhang\*

Department of Physics and Chemistry, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454000, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: zay@hpu.edu.cn

Received 15 April 2009; accepted 26 April 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean  $\sigma$ (O–B) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.019; wR factor = 0.054; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.7.

The open-framework alkaline-earth metal borophosphate, lithium dicopper(II) borophosphate dihydroxide, LiCu<sub>2</sub>B- $P_2O_8(OH)_2$ , was synthesized hydrothermally. Its structure may be regarded as a layer formed *via* BO<sub>4</sub> and PO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra bonding together with distorted CuO<sub>6</sub> and LiO<sub>6</sub> octahedral units. Each P atom is connected to B, Li and Cu atoms through a bridging O atom. The B atom lies on a crystallographic twofold axis and the Li atom lies on a center of symmetry. The two metal centers are connected to each other by Cu–O–Li bonds.

#### **Related literature**

For chiral structures and potential applications in catalysis of borophosphates with the general formula  $AM(H_2O)_2$ -[BP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>].yH<sub>2</sub>O (A = Li, Na, K, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>; M = Mg, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd) (y = 0.5-1), see: Ewald *et al.* (2007); Kniep *et al.* (1997). For related structures, see: Boy & Kniep (2001); Yang *et al.* (2008).

#### **Experimental**

Crystal data LiCu<sub>2</sub>BP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>  $M_r = 368.79$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 15.0974 (19) Å b = 4.7617 (6) Å

c = 9.6585 (12) Å  $\beta = 91.0190 (10)^{\circ}$   $V = 694.23 (15) \text{ Å}^3$  Z = 4Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

$\mu =$	$6.64 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T =	296 K

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD	4076 measured reflections
diffractometer	925 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	897 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2007)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.032$
$T_{\min} = 0.350, \ T_{\max} = 0.398$	
(expected range = $0.285 - 0.324$ )	

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.019$   $wR(F^2) = 0.054$  S = 1.18925 reflections 79 parameters 1 restraint H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.63 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.53 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

 $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.17~\mathrm{mm}$ 

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\overline{O4-H4\cdots O2^{i}}$ $O4-H4\cdots O5^{ii}$	0.848 (10) 0.848 (10)	2.37 (3) 2.32 (2)	2.9535 (19) 3.036 (2)	126 (3) 143 (3)
Summatry and a (i)		(ii) x y + 1 =	. 1 1	

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y + 2, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by the Main Teacher Project of Henan Province (Reference 649082) and the Foundation of Graduate Produce (Reference 2008-*M*-17).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BR2104).

#### References

Boy, I. & Kniep, R. J. (2001). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 9-10.

- Bruker (2007). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Ewald, B., Huang, Y.-X. & Kniep, R. (2007). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 633, 1517– 1540.
- Kniep, R., Will, H. G., Boy, I. & Röhr, C. (1997). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 36, 1013–1014.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Yang, T., Sun, J.-L., Li, G.-B., Eriksson, L., Zou, X.-D., Liao, F.-H. & Ling, J.-H. (2008). Chem. Eur. J. 14, 7212–7217.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, i40 [doi:10.1107/81600536809015554]

### An open-framework borophosphate, LiCu<sub>2</sub>BP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>

#### J. Zheng and A. Zhang

#### Comment

In the last decade, much attention has been paid to the large family of borophosphates with the general formula  $AM(H_2O)_2[BP_2O_8].yH_2O$  (A=Li, Na, K, NH4<sup>+</sup>; *M*=Mg, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd) (*y* = 0.5–1) due to their chiral structure property and potential applications for catalysts (Kniep *et al.*, 1997; Ewald *et al.*, 2007).

The crystal structure of LiCu<sub>2</sub>BP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> contains one unique Li atom, two Cu atoms, one boron atom, two phosphor atoms, and eight oxygen atoms and two –OH groups in the asymmetric unit of the framework. The borophosphate units are isolated anions linked by the bonds them form to Cu, Li and H. (Fig.1) Each BO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron belongs to the adjacent CuO<sub>6</sub> octahedra. The phosphorous atoms are allocated in regular tetrahedral environments with four types of oxygen atoms. Bond lengths and angles within the anionic partial structure are consistent with related borophosphates (Boy *et al.*, 2001; Yang *et al.* 2008),. Li<sup>+</sup> is coordinated by the oxygen functions groups of PO<sub>4</sub> groups. Cu<sup>2+</sup> is adjacent to six oxygen atoms, five from PO<sub>4</sub> groups and one BO<sub>4</sub> groups, but one of the five PO<sub>4</sub> links (O3) is also bonded to BO<sub>4</sub> (Fig.2)

#### Experimental

Blue block crystals were synthesized hydrothermally from a mixture of  $Cu(NO_3)_2$ ,  $Li_2B_4O_7$ , water and  $H_3PO_4$ . In a typical synthesis, 0.725 g  $Cu(NO_3)_2$  were dissolved in a mixture of 5 mL water, 1.691 g  $Li_2B_4O_7$  and 2 ml (85%)  $H_3PO_4$  with constant stirring. Finally, the mixture was kept in a 30 ml Teflon–lined steel autoclave at 443 K for 6days. The autoclave was slowly cooled to room temperature. Blue block crystals of thetitle compound were obtained.

#### Refinement

The H atoms of the coordinated water molecule were refined with Uiso(H)=2.4Ueq(O)and distance restraints d(O-H)of 0.86 (1)Å. The highest peak in the difference map is 0.63e/Å, and 0.77Å from O2, and the minimum peak is -0.53e/Å, and 0.70Å from Cu1.

**Figures** 



Fig. 1. The structure of  $LiCu_2BP_2O_8(OH)_2$ . Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% the probability level.



Fig. 2. Packing diagram of  $LiCu_2BP_2O_8(OH)_2$ , viewed along b axis.

## lithium dicopper borophosphate dihydroxide

Crystal data	
LiCu <sub>2</sub> BP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>	$F_{000} = 712$
$M_r = 368.79$	$D_{\rm x} = 3.528 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	Cell parameters from 3236 reflections
<i>a</i> = 15.0974 (19) Å	$\theta = 2.7 - 29.3^{\circ}$
b = 4.7617 (6)  Å	$\mu = 6.64 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 9.6585 (12)  Å	T = 296  K
$\beta = 91.0190 \ (10)^{\circ}$	Block, blue
$V = 694.23 (15) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.20\times0.18\times0.17~mm$
Z = 4	

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	925 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	897 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.032$
T = 296  K	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 29.3^{\circ}$
$\phi$ and $\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\min} = 2.7^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2007)	$h = -20 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\min} = 0.350, \ T_{\max} = 0.398$	$k = -6 \rightarrow 6$
4076 measured reflections	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.019$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.054$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0258P)^2 + 1.3109P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.18	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$
925 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.63 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

79 parameters

1 restraint

$$\begin{split} &\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.52 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ &\text{Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008),} \\ &\text{Fc}^* = \text{kFc}[1 + 0.001 \text{xFc}^2 \lambda^3 / \sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} \end{split}$$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Extinction coefficient: 0.0350 (13)

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2 \operatorname{sigma}(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R– factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
Cu1	0.350210 (15)	1.20604 (5)	0.77155 (2)	0.00731 (13)
P2	0.35630 (3)	0.67849 (9)	0.58833 (5)	0.00503 (14)
O3	0.44649 (9)	0.5916 (3)	0.65917 (14)	0.0085 (3)
O2	0.34451 (9)	0.9972 (3)	0.59584 (13)	0.0080 (3)
01	0.28531 (9)	0.5146 (3)	0.66790 (13)	0.0074 (3)
05	0.35401 (9)	0.5717 (3)	0.44007 (13)	0.0085 (3)
O4	0.44543 (9)	0.9550 (3)	0.83773 (13)	0.0101 (3)
В	0.5000	0.7756 (6)	0.7500	0.0069 (5)
Li	0.2500	1.2500	0.5000	0.0201 (11)
H4	0.425 (2)	0.852 (6)	0.901 (2)	0.024*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

#### Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.01075 (17)	0.00604 (17)	0.00510 (16)	0.00214 (8)	-0.00107 (9)	-0.00075 (7)
P2	0.0066 (2)	0.0042 (2)	0.0042 (2)	-0.00019 (15)	-0.00068 (16)	0.00003 (15)
O3	0.0084 (6)	0.0069 (6)	0.0100 (6)	0.0005 (5)	-0.0034 (5)	-0.0008 (5)
O2	0.0118 (6)	0.0048 (6)	0.0072 (6)	0.0011 (5)	-0.0018 (5)	-0.0006 (5)
01	0.0082 (6)	0.0068 (6)	0.0073 (6)	0.0005 (5)	0.0014 (4)	0.0022 (5)
O5	0.0130 (7)	0.0076 (6)	0.0049 (6)	0.0003 (5)	-0.0001 (5)	-0.0001 (5)
O4	0.0119 (6)	0.0116 (7)	0.0067 (6)	0.0041 (5)	0.0004 (5)	0.0000 (5)
В	0.0079 (13)	0.0056 (12)	0.0073 (13)	0.000	-0.0006 (10)	0.000
Li	0.021 (3)	0.017 (2)	0.022 (3)	0.006 (2)	-0.012 (2)	-0.006 (2)
Geometric paran	neters (Å, °)					

Cu1— $O5^{i}$  1.9415 (13) P2—O1 1.5420 (14)

# supplementary materials

Cu1—O2	1.9674 (14)	P2—O3	1.5686 (14)
Cu1—O4	1.9676 (14)	B—O4 <sup>iv</sup>	1.467 (2)
Cu1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.0213 (13)	B—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	1.471 (2)
Cu1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.3242 (13)	Li—O2 <sup>v</sup>	2.0723 (14)
P2—O5	1.5195 (13)	Li—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.1143 (13)
Р2—О2	1.5300 (15)	Li—O5 <sup>ii</sup>	2.2758 (14)
O5 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O2	177.21 (6)	P2—O5—Cu1 <sup>viii</sup>	127.40 (8)
O5 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O4	92.77 (6)	P2—O5—Li <sup>vi</sup>	89.42 (6)
O2—Cu1—O4	89.64 (6)	Cu1 <sup>viii</sup> —O5—Li <sup>vi</sup>	124.75 (6)
O5 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	91.48 (6)	B—O4—Cu1	125.51 (9)
O2—Cu1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	85.80 (6)	O4 <sup>iv</sup> —B—O4	108.8 (2)
O4—Cu1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	161.34 (6)	O4 <sup>iv</sup> —B—O3	108.09 (7)
O5 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	90.91 (5)	O4—B—O3	112.53 (8)
O2—Cu1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	89.63 (5)	O4 <sup>iv</sup> —B—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	112.53 (8)
O4—Cu1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	108.74 (6)	O4—B—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	108.09 (7)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Cu1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	89.35 (3)	O3—B—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	106.9 (2)
O5—P2—O2	112.09 (8)	O2—Li—O2 <sup>v</sup>	180.0
O5—P2—O1	107.21 (8)	O2—Li—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	80.86 (5)
O2—P2—O1	113.33 (8)	O2 <sup>v</sup> —Li—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	99.14 (5)
O5—P2—O3	109.13 (8)	O2—Li—O1 <sup>ix</sup>	99.14 (5)
O2—P2—O3	109.99 (8)	O2 <sup>v</sup> —Li—O1 <sup>ix</sup>	80.86 (5)
O1—P2—O3	104.75 (7)	O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Li—O1 <sup>ix</sup>	180.0
B—O3—P2	124.48 (13)	O2—Li—O5 <sup>ii</sup>	91.82 (5)
P2—O2—Cu1	122.61 (8)	O2 <sup>v</sup> —Li—O5 <sup>ii</sup>	88.18 (5)
P2—O2—Li	129.39 (8)	O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Li—O5 <sup>ii</sup>	68.18 (5)
Cu1—O2—Li	96.37 (6)	O1 <sup>ix</sup> —Li—O5 <sup>ii</sup>	111.82 (5)
P2—O1—Cu1 <sup>vi</sup>	106.24 (7)	O2—Li—O5 <sup>ix</sup>	88.18 (5)
P2—O1—Li <sup>vi</sup>	95.01 (6)	O2 <sup>v</sup> —Li—O5 <sup>ix</sup>	91.82 (5)
Cu1 <sup>vi</sup> —O1—Li <sup>vi</sup>	93.45 (6)	O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Li—O5 <sup>ix</sup>	111.82 (5)
P2—O1—Cu1 <sup>vii</sup>	123.34 (8)	O1 <sup>ix</sup> —Li—O5 <sup>ix</sup>	68.18 (5)
Cu1 <sup>vi</sup> —O1—Cu1 <sup>vii</sup>	125.56 (6)	O5 <sup>ii</sup> —Li—O5 <sup>ix</sup>	180.0
Li <sup>vi</sup> —O1—Cu1 <sup>vii</sup>	102.45 (5)		

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, -*y*+2, *z*+1/2; (ii) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (iii) -*x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, -*z*+3/2; (iv) -*x*+1, *y*, -*z*+3/2; (v) -*x*+1/2, -*y*+5/2, -*z*+1; (vi) *x*, *y*-1, *z*; (vii) -*x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+3/2; (viii) *x*, -*y*+2, *z*-1/2; (ix) -*x*+1/2, -*y*+3/2, -*z*+1.

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D -\!\!\!-\!\!\!- \!$	
O4—H4···O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.848 (10)	2.37 (3)	2.9535 (19)	126 (3)	
O4—H4···O5 <sup>x</sup>	0.848 (10)	2.32 (2)	3.036 (2)	143 (3)	
Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y+2, z+1/2$ ; (x) $x, -y+1, z+1/2$ .					





